

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET-NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,868	11/12/2003		Siegfried Blumel	903-016 (TG168 US)	6745	
24295	7590	11/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Rodney T. H 822 Pines Brid		Ph.D.	PAHNG, J	PAHNG, JASON Y		
Ossining, NY 10562				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3,				3725		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9Wh				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,868	BLUMEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Y. Pahng	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed hthe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 C						
•	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4.	JJ O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar alastian raquiromant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	· ·	ou in the Hundrick Glago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —					

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 22 has been entered.

Claim Objections

It appears that claim 2 does not add any additional limitations over claim 1. The apparatus of claim 1 comprises a jet mill. The jet mill already comprises an outer casing as claimed in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-15, 17, 19, 20, 21, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto (US 6,196,482) in view of Fay (US 4,056,233).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,868

Art Unit: 3725

6,

With regard to claim 1, Goto discloses a jet mill including:

- 1. an inner casing (7, 9, 10, 11) having abrasion resistant inner surfaces;
- 2. the inner casing (7, 9, 10, 11) having an inlet (13) and an outlet (14);
- 3. the inner casing (7, 9, 10, 11) having an inlet (3) for introducing a propellant fluid;
- 4. a pressurized fluid filled volume contained between an inner surface (of part 6 near the nozzles 3) of the outer casing (part 6 and top and bottom part covering parts 9 and 10) and the outer surface (of the nozzles 3) of the inner casing (7, 9, 10, 11); and
- 5. an inner casing (7, 9, 10, 11) having at least one first inlet port for introducing a propellant fluid from a pressurized duct formed by at least one inside wall of the outer casing and at least one outside wall of the inner casing.

Goto does not disclose an annular pressurized duct. In a closely related art, Fay discloses a jet mill with an annular pressurized duct (21a) in order to easily supply pressurized fluid to a plurality of inlet ports. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Goto with an annular pressurized duct in order to easily supply pressurized fluid to a plurality of inlet ports, as taught by Fay.

With regard to claim 2, Goto discloses an outer casing (part 6 and top and bottom part covering parts 9 and 10).

With regard to claims 5, 11, and 19, Goto discloses an inner casing comprising four parts (7, 9, 10, 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,868

Art Unit: 3725

P

With regard to claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 20, and 21, Goto discloses an inner casing made of either a single abrasion-resistant material or from different abrasion-resistant materials (column 6, lines 16-21). According to the claims, the invention would perform equally well with an inner casing made of either a single abrasion-resistant material or from different abrasion-resistant materials.

With regard to claims 8 and 17, Goto discloses a smooth abrasion resistant inner surface (Figure 1).

With regard to claim 10, Goto discloses hard metals for the inner surfaces (column 6, lines 16-21).

With regard to claims 14 and 15, Goto discloses air and nitrogen as the propellant fluid (column 6, lines 22-24).

With regard to claim 28, Goto discloses an inner casing assembled in an outer casing. This is a product-by-process claim. In a product-by-process claim, the product may be produced by a different process (MPEP 2113).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto (US 6,196,482) in view of Fay (US 4,248,387), further in view of Andrews (US 4,248,387).

Claim 3 calls for a clamp and a vent. In a closely related art, Andrews discloses a jet mill with a clamp (22) and a vent (62) in order to compress grinding chamber and allow pressure relief. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Goto with a clamp and a vent in order to compress grinding chamber and allow pressure relief, as taught by Andrews.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

ì

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto (US 6,196,482) in view of Fay (US 4,248,387) and Andrews (US 4,248,387) as applied above, further in view of Hahn et al. (US 6,726,133). Claim 4 calls for an equalizing film for sealing. In a closely related art pertinent to the problem, Hahn discloses an RD mill with a gasket in order to seal a compressed area (column 6, lines 45-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Goto (as modified by Andrews) with a gasket in order to seal a compressed area, as taught by Hahn.

Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto (US 6,196,482) in view of Fay (US 4,248,387), further in view of Beliavsky (US 5,855,326). Claims 9 and 18 call for the abrasion-resistant inner surface to be textured. In a closely related art, Beliavsky discloses a jet mill with a textured inner surface in order to provide different grinding conditions (column 4, lines 40-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Goto with a textured inner surface in order to provide different grinding conditions, as taught by Beliavsky. Additionally, page 7 of the specification discloses that the interior of the pulverizing casing can be of any design.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3725

It is noted that all 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections have been withdrawn in view of the amendments.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Y. Pahng whose telephone number is 571 272 4522. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571 272 4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JYP

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6